UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,733	01/18/2002	Jonathan Christopher Hardwick	MS1-1422US 4234	
	7590 06/05/2007 CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
ONE MICROS			ALHIJA, SAIF A	
REDMOND, WA 98052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment    10/053,733		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner		10/053.733	HARDWICK ET A	۸L.		
This application is abandoned in view of:	Notice of Abandonment	Evaminor				
This application is abandoned in view of:		Saif A Albija	2128			
This application is abandoned in view of:  1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 18 September 2006.  (a) ☑ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on  (b) ☑ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed damendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed voltoce of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed following the application of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☑ A reply was received on with a proper reply, or a bone filed attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ② No reply has been received.  2. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☑ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) st in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☑ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is The publication fee, if equired by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is The publication fee, if equired by 37 CFR 1.18 is The publication fee, if equired by a publication fee, if equired by a publication fee, if equired by a publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	The MAII ING DATE of this communication app		<del></del>	ress		
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter malled on 18 September 2008.  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the period for reply fincluding a total extension of time of month(s) which expired on  (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowances; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appea			•			
<ul> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply funding a total extension of time of month(s) which expired on</li> <li>(b) A proposed reply was received on but it does not consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal teg), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).</li> <li>(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona filde attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).</li> <li>(d) ② No reply has been received.</li> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.</li> <li>(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.</li> <li>(d) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <li>(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.</li> <li>(c) ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.</li> <li>5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an a</li></ul>	• •					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☑ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The issue fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	), which is after the e			
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.185(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowablity (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	or (3) a timely filed R	equest for		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3	(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b)   The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3   Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a)   Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b)   No corrected drawings have been received.  4.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6.   The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7.   The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.			•		
	from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c)	), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3 ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☒ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to U.S. Patent attendance on patent term.  **U.S. Patent attendance of the promptly filed to U.S. Patent attendance on patent term.  **U.S. Patent attendance of the promptly filed to U.S. Patent att	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·		
Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Offices	(c)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.				
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  LS. Patent affices		uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Not	ice of		
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is					
the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  **Examiner Contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the applicants Robert Ro	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ul> <li>1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.</li> <li>6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> <li>7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the applicants Robert Robert Patents Robert Robert</li></ul>	<u> </u>	ne attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire in	terest, or all of		
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  KAMINI SHAH  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity un	der 37 CFR		
Examiner contacted Applicants Representative Robert Hartman to confirm abandonment of the application.  Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  KAMINI SHAH  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			se the period for seel	king court review		
Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  KAMINI SHAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. X The reason(s) below:					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Applicants Representative informed Examiner that the case had been transferred to a different law firm. However no power of attorney or response has been received.  KAMINI SHAH					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Pap	er No. 20070410		